TOWN OF NEWTOWN WHAT IF? CHANGES TO BOS BOE PROPOSED BUDGET 2015 - 2016

A DDITION (DEDLICTION)	CURRENT TAXES	TAX LEVY	MILL RATE	TAX INCREASE		NGE IN BILL**
ADDITION (REDUCTION)	(99.0% of Levy)	(Billed Amount)			(ANI	NUAL)
TO PROPOSED BUDGET	101,341,767	102,365,421	33.81	1.51%	\$	121
1,500,000	101,241,767	102,264,411	33.78	1.41%	\$	113
1,400,000	101,141,767	102,163,401	33.75	1.31%	\$	105
1,300,000	101,041,767	102,062,391	33.71	1.21%	\$	97
1,200,000	100,941,767	101,961,381	33.68	1.11%	\$	88
1,100,000	100,841,767	101,860,370	33.65	1.01%	\$	80
1,000,000	100,741,767	101,759,360	33.61	0.91%	\$	72
900,000	100,741,767	101,658,350	33.58	0.81%	\$	64
800,000	100,541,767	101,557,340	33.55	0.71%	\$	56
700,000	100,341,767	101,456,330	33.51	0.61%	\$	48
600,000 500,000	100,341,767	101,355,320	33.48	0.51%	\$	40
	100,341,767	101,254,310	33.44	0.41%	\$	32
400,000 300,000	100,241,767	101,153,300	33.41	0.30%	\$	24
200,000	100,141,767	101,052,290	33.38	0.20%	\$	16
100,000	99,941,767	100,951,280		0.10%	\$	8
BOS BOE TOTAL PROPOSED BUDGET	99,841,767	100,850,269		0.00%	\$	0
(100,000)		100,749,259		-0.10%	\$	(8)
		100,648,249	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	-0.20%	\$	(16)
(200,000)				-0.30%	\$	(24)
	-			-0.40%	\$	(32)
(400,000) (500,000)	/			-0.50%	\$	(40)
(600,000)	/			-0.60%	\$	(48)
(700,000	/			-0.70%	\$	(56)
(800,000)	,			-0.80%	\$	(64)
(900,000	/			-0.90%	\$	(72)
(1,000,000	7			-1.00%	\$	(80)
(1,100,000	/				\$	(88)
(1,200,000	/				\$	(96)
(1,300,000	/				\$	(104)
(1,400,000	/				\$	(112
(1,500,000	/				\$	(120
(1,600,000					\$	(128
(1,700,000					\$	(136
(1,800,000	/				\$	(144
(1,900,000	,				\$	(152
(2,000,000					\$	(160
(2,100,000	/				\$	(168
(2,200,000					\$	(176
(2,300,000	/				\$	(184
(2,400,000					\$	(192
(2,500,000					\$	(200

^{**} ASSUMING A \$8,000 CURRENT ANNUAL TAX BILL

HTTUCKINGAT

Fwd: budget

Fri 2/20/2015 6:10 AM From: JOHN KORTZE To: Arlene Miles



For correspondence

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Joe <jkearney19@aol.com>

Date: February 19, 2015 at 2:51:37 PM EST To: JOHN KORTZE < jkortze@mac.com>

Subject: Fwd: budget

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Julia Conlin <jkconlin@yahoo.com> Date: February 19, 2015 at 2:39:24 PM EST

To: "james.filan@gmail.com" <james.filan@gmail.com>, "jkearney19@aol.com" <jkearney19@aol.com>, "jkortze@yahoo.com" <jkortze@yahoo.com>, "jgodin1312@gmail.com" <jgodin1312@gmail.com>, "mike.e.portnoy@gmail.com" <mike.e.portnoy@gmail.com>, "harrison.waterbury@sbcglobal.net" <harrison.waterbury@sbcglobal.net>

Cc: "michelleku.boe@gmail.com" <michelleku.boe@gmail.com>, "lrocheboe@gmail.com" <lrocheboe@gmail.com>, "dfboenewtown@hotmail.com" <dfboenewtown@hotmail.com>, "alexanderk_boe@newtown.k12.ct.us" <alexanderk_boe@newtown.k12.ct.us>, "boedebbie@gmail.com" <boodebbie@gmail.com>, "KathyLHamilton@att.net" <KathyLHamilton@att.net>, "danaholcombehouse@yahoo.com" <danaholcombehouse@yahoo.com>

Subject: budget

Reply-To: Julia Conlin <jkconlin@yahoo.com>

I am writing to urge you to accept the Board of Education's proposed budget without any additional cuts. The BOE's budget is again very lean this year, but it is thoughtfully prepared. Although the budget does not allow for much in the way of new programs, it would provide for a very important one, i.e., the introduction of a kindergarten foreign language program. Such a program is essential if Newtown is to keep pace with its neighbors in terms of being an attractive school district to potential home buyers.

Thank you for your service to the town.

-Julia Conlin, Newtown

Attachment C

Fwd: Projected Cost Savings from Closing a School

Mon 2/23/2015 8:37 AM

From: John To: Arlene Miles



For correspondence

Begin forwarded message:

Subject: Re: Projected Cost Savings from Closing a School

From: Michael Salaris <mike.salaris@gmail.com> Date: February 22, 2015 at 4:59:29 PM EST

Cc: John Godin <jgodin1312@gmail.com>, "llodra Pat ;" <pat.llodra@newtown-ct.gov>, Mary Ann Jacob

<mjacob4404@charter.net>, Keith Alexander <alexanderk_boe@newtown.k12.ct.us>

To: John <jkortze@yahoo.com>

John,

I wanter to take a minute to share with you a document I've been using to track our facilities.

There are no conclusions about savings included...just relevant facts about our facilities. Hope you find it useful.

Mike

On Feb 22, 2015, at 2:01 PM, Michael Salaris <mike.salaris@gmail.com> wrote:

Mr Kortze,

The schedule of school savings included in the 2/9 minutes is significantly overstated.

Consider the information on the Pettibone school closing in New Milford and the Caulk Hill closing in Monroe included in the 2/9 minutes. How is it that these two districts closed schools (364 students and 577 students) and only saved \$650K and \$500K, respectively, yet we predict savings of 2 to 5 times those amounts? Isn't that a red flag that something is wrong with these numbers?

Consider the savings on busing being reported. How can we expect to save \$190K on busing if we close Middle Gate? It's going to cost more to truck all those kids across town twice a day than if the school is open.

Also, ask yourself what happens to the busing savings if All Star reduces the number of buses used this year as is currently being discussed. Would these cuts be possible at all in that case? If not, they are not related to closing a school. Because there is a limit to the amount of time kids can be expected to spend on the bus every day, the actual result of closing a school is that busing costs increase, not the other way around.

Leading the public to believe they will be saving more than possible from closing a school is irresponsible. The truth is, unless we're including savings from cutting planned capital improvements, which this does not, actual savings from closing schools are a fraction of what the BoF is representing. Moreover, putting these overstated figures in front of the public just before the budget referendum will lead some to believe, if they reject the education budget now, they may force spending cuts earlier than planned.

Given the binding nature of our voting process and the likely impact this information will have on voters, releasing these vastly overstated savings projects just before the referendum is especially irresponsible coming from the Board of Finance. Please stop releasing inaccurate and misleading information.

If you would like to discuss the issues with these numbers in more detail I'd be happy to meet with you and the board to do so.

Sincerely,

Mike Salaris

Attachments:

• Facilities Fact Sheet - Feb 2015.xlsx

	7
	- " "
	O,
	_
	acilities
	Service Services
	-
	~
	w
	L/A
	•
	9
	7
	9
	ST.
	_
	Ct
	7
ш	10
	\vee
П	_
П	_
	-
	(D
1	
1	10
	Sheet
1	

Middle School High School 175,000 362,131 1951 1970 64 45 53 70 18 51 2 2 3 35.5 47.6 834 1,747 745 1,663 (84) -11% -5% -3.84 20.8 32.16 32.16 33.16 33.16 31.747 813 1,676 745 1,663 650 1.535

4,640,000 13,655,000	7,005,000			2,150,000		4,500,000	Current Expected Capital Improvements
	39%	45%	74%	80%	103%	70%	2024-25
	43%	44%	69%	75%	96%	65%	2023-24
	45%	45%	64%	69%	90%	61%	2022-23
	44%	49%	62%	66%	84%	58%	2021-22
	46%	52%	60%	63%	81%	56%	2020-21
	49%	51%	60%	63%	84%	57%	2019-20
	53%	53%	63%	64%	83%	58%	2018-19
	57%	56%	62%	65%	89%	61%	2017-18
	61%	61%	67%	68%	90%	63%	2016-17
	66%	65%	72%	72%	91%	66%	2015-16
	68%	70%	73%	77%	95%	71%	2014-15
		The second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section sec					Capacity (Used Classrooms/Total Classrooms):
	32.3	25.1	5.8	5.3	(0.6)	7.3	2024-25
	30.4	25.7	6.8	6.6	0.9	8.3	2023-24
	29.1	25.4	7.9	8.0	2.2	9.3	2022-23
	29.5	23.5	8.4	9.0	3.5	10.0	2021-22
	28.4	22.2	8.8	9.7	4.2	10.5	2020-21
	27.1	22.6	8.8	9.6	3.6	10.2	2019-20
	24.9	21.5	8.2	9.3	3.6	10.1	2018-19
	23.0	20.1	8.3	9.1	2.5	9.3	2017-18
	20.8	18.0	7.2	8.2	2.1	8.9	2016-17
	1/.9	16.0	6.2	7.3	1.9	8.1	2015-16
	17.0	14.0	6.0	6.0	1.0	7:0	2014-15
	110	2		to the second se			Excess Classrooms:
	20.7	20.3	16.2	20.7	22.6	16.7	2024-25
	20.7	20.3	15.2	19.4	21.1	15.7	2023-24
	23.9	20.6	14.1	18.0	19.8	14.7	2022-23
	23.5	22.5	13.6	17.0	18.5	14.0	2021-22
	24.6	23.8	13.2	16.3	17.8	13.5	2020-21
	25.9	23.4	13.2	16.4	18.4	13.8	2019-20
	28.1	24.5	13.8	16.7	18.4	13.9	2018-19
	30.0	25.9	13.7	16.9	19.5	14.7	2017-18
	32.2	28.0	14.8	17.8	19.9	15.1	2016-17
	35.1	30.0	15.8	18.7	20.1	15.9	2015-16
	36.0	32.0	16.0	20.0	21.0	17.0	2014-15
							Classrooms Used (Current Student/Teach %):

à
0
CIIITIE
les
_
Q
2
2
Mai
inten
3
'n
an
5
ce
ILD

Total Utilities, Maintenance & Admin	Total Administration Expense	School Administration Principals Lead Teachers Clerical/Secretarial	Total Util, Maint w/Capital Improvements % of Total	Utilities & Maintenance Cost Per Classroom	Total Facilities and Maintenance % of Total	Maintenance Maintence Supplies Improvements Emergency Repairs	Custodial Salaries Electric Oil Natural Gas Water
\$ 5,173,210 \$	322,574	2 1	4,850,636 22%	\$ 14,610 \$ 7	350,636 6%	24,250 14,595 27,500 20,000	159,549 58,678 21,664 20,000 4,400
807,311 \$	333,125	2 1 1	474,186	21,554 \$	474,186 8%	26,000 15,648 20,000	206,529 97,227 102,132 550 6,100
2,954,966 \$	323,511	2 1 1	2,631,455	18,517 \$	481,455 8%	24,250 14,595 78,000 20,000	207,529 50,021 77,373 2,587 7,100
784,613 \$	315,667	2 1 1	468,946	21,316 \$	468,946 8%	28,000 16,852 55,000 20,000	160,549 104,583 83,562 400
1,309,706 \$	442,813	3.86	866,893	18,846 \$	8 66,893 15%	28,250 17,002 20,000 20,000	408,924 276,417 - 83,000 13,300
8,512,483 \$	476,334	2 0.69 3.77	8,036,149	19,456 \$	1,031,149 18%	45,100 27,143 88,500 50,000	459,804 127,660 221,642 4,200 7,100
902,007 \$	902,007	6 4		30,194 \$	2,113,595 37%	70,000 42,129 45,000 95,000	878,145 703,941 12,380 222,000 45,000
3,116,031	3,116,031	12 5 22		22,003	5,786,859 100%	245,850 147,963 314,000 245,000	2,481,029 1,418,527 518,753 332,737 83,000
				87	,		s — 0 2